

**WINNETKA PLAN COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES
APRIL 26, 2023**

Members Present:

Bridget Orsic, Chairperson
Jonathan Alt
Mamie Case
Layla Danley
John Golan
Chris Foley
Liz Kunkle

Members Absent:

Matthew Bradley

Non-Voting Members Present:

Tina Trustee Dalman

Village Staff:

David Schoon, Director of Community Development
Ann Klaassen, Assistant Director of Community
Development

Call to Order & Roll Call:

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Orsic at 7:00 p.m. Ms. Klaassen took roll call of the Commission Members present.

Approval of Minutes:

a. March 22, 2023, Regular Meeting Minutes

Chairperson Orsic asked for a motion to approve the March 22, 2023, meeting minutes. A motion was made by Ms. Case by to approve the March 22, 2023, meeting minutes. Mr. Golan seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the motion unanimously passed, 7 to 0:

AYES: Alt, Case, Danley, Foley, Golan, Kunkle, Orsic

NAYS: None

NON-VOTING: Dalman

Public Comments:

No comments were made at this time.

Community Development Report:

Mr. Schoon stated the Village Council approved the special use requests for North Shore Country Day School and for Hubbard Woods School. He also stated the Village Council adopted the new Comprehensive Plan with a copy being made available on the website, and he can provide hard copies upon request. Mr. Schoon provided an update with regard to the One Winnetka proposal that was presented to the Design Review Board (DRB) which provided architectural comments noting consideration was deferred until their May meeting. He stated once the DRB makes a recommendation they will determine when the request will be presented to the Planned Development Commission.

New Applications:

a. Case No. 23-04-SU: 564 Green Bay Road: An application seeking approval of a Special Use Permit to allow off-street parking at street-level along the alley for a new four-story mixed-use building at 564 Green Bay Road. The Village Council has final jurisdiction on this request.

1 Ms. Klaassen stated in 2022, the applicant submitted an application to allow a three-story mixed-use
2 building, with a roof top deck, with the Village Council approving the request. She stated the applicant is
3 now asking for approval for a fourth story for an additional residential unit. Ms. Klaassen identified the
4 property's location and zoning classification stating the proposed development of the site is consistent
5 with the newly adopted land use map in the Comprehensive Plan and the zoning designation.

6
7 Ms. Klaassen then identified photos of the site noting the existing building would be demolished. She also
8 referred to the proposed site plan with a rear yard setback of 3 feet which required approval of a zoning
9 variation. Ms. Klaassen described the commercial and residential units occupying the space noting Engel
10 & Völkers would occupy the commercial space on the first floor and lower level.

11
12 Ms. Klaassen identified the proposed first floor plan and two rear off-street parking spaces accessed from
13 the alley which required special use approval because the parking spaces are on the ground level. She
14 described the residential units, their sizes and the minimum parking space requirements noting on-site
15 parking is not required for the commercial use since it measured less than 2,500 square feet for parking
16 requirement perspectives. She also identified the 4th floor plan which changed as a result of the DRB
17 comments and eliminated the setback variation previously requested.

18
19 Ms. Klaassen also identified the proposed front and rear elevations, as well as a rendering provided by the
20 applicant. She explained the DRB comments regarding the project to the Commission and noted the DRB
21 voted to recommend approval of the request. She also noted that no public comment was received and
22 the Commission is to consider whether the special use request to allow parking at-street level met the
23 standards for granting a special use. Ms. Klaassen then stated after the presentation by the applicant,
24 and public comment, the Commission is to consider recommending approval or denial of the request with
25 a draft motion provided on page nos. 21-22. She then asked if there were any questions.

26
27 Chairperson Orsic asked for clarification with regard to the number of parking spaces the Commission Is
28 to consider for approval which Ms. Klaassen clarified for the Commission. Ms. Case asked if parking for
29 the 4th floor unit is to be considered. Ms. Klaassen responded the Zoning Ordinance did not recognize off-
30 site parking for residential uses with the variation being for three parking spaces when the applicant is
31 providing two parking spaces, whereas a minimum of 5 is required for the residential units. She noted the
32 Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) recommended approval with a vote of 4 to 1. Ms. Kunkle asked if the two
33 parking spaces would be for residential use only. Ms. Klaassen stated the applicant can respond to that
34 question. No additional questions were raised at this time.

35
36 Chairperson Orsic swore in those speaking to this matter. Cal Bernstein, the applicant's attorney,
37 confirmed the approvals requested in the application are the same as were approved in 2022 with the
38 only change being one additional residential unit versus the roof top deck and explained the reasoning for
39 the change which related to economic considerations. He described the lot's conditions which only
40 allowed for two parking spaces. Mr. Bernstein referred to the ZBA discussion relating to the number of
41 parking spaces and alternatives for the project and its proximity to surrounding parking uses. He then
42 stated the standards have been addressed in the application and asked if there were any questions.

43
44 Chairperson Orsic asked if the units would be apartments and Mr. Bernstein confirmed that is correct. Ms.
45 Danley questioned whether two spaces would be able to fit on the lot.

46
47 Chairperson Orsic then swore in Nate Kipnis, the project architect. Mr. Kipnis confirmed two parking
48 spaces would fit on the site. Mr. Golan referred to the narrow alley size. Mr. Kipnis responded a larger

1 vehicle may require a three-point turn. Mr. Bernstein then referred to the previous discussion that it
2 would be detrimental to have parking off of Green Bay Road. Mr. Golan asked if residential access would
3 be in the front and Mr. Kipnis identified the residential access points with the main entrance being on
4 Green Bay Road. Mr. Alt asked if underground parking was explored and Mr. Kipnis responded it would
5 not be feasible on a lot this size. Ms. Kunkle asked if the larger vehicle shown in the illustration is accurate
6 to size. Mr. Kipnis stated a large and small vehicle would fit in the garage.
7

8 Ms. Danley asked if financial feasibility studies were done to confirm they could finance the project.
9 Chairperson Orsic swore in Paul Lazarre, manager of 564 Green Bay LLC, who stated the previous proposal
10 would have only contained two residential units and was when interest rates were much lower. He went
11 on to explain how the interest rates have affected construction of the building and they need the fourth
12 story to make the project feasible.
13

14 Chairperson Orsic then asked if there were any public comments. No comments were made at this time.
15 She then called the matter in for discussion.
16

17 Chairperson Orsic informed the Commission she spoke with Mr. Bradley who provided a summary of the
18 ZBA's discussion in that the location is unique and there would be no precedent and there is a desire for
19 this type of housing being needed with the market determining if apartments can be rented without
20 parking being provided. She then stated she was initially concerned and stated the request would not take
21 up street parking or overnight parking and described the request as an interesting experiment.
22 Chairperson Orsic stated underground parking is not an option and concluded the additional residential
23 unit would not impact surrounding uses.
24

25 Ms. Kunkle stated she was initially concerned as well because of the changes made although she
26 appreciated the project's thoughtfulness being altered to make it fit. She stated an additional Pillar
27 relating to parking from the Comprehensive Plan should be addressed and referred to Goal 8.5 which this
28 application specifically related to. Ms. Kunkle stated they are asking for fewer spaces than are required.
29 Ms. Danley stated knowing the two parking spaces would be the Village standard and the request is
30 exactly the same as previously approved, she agreed with the project being a good experiment and stated
31 she is in favor of the request.
32

33 Mr. Foley agreed with the comments made and stated he would be in favor of the request in light of the
34 extensive Comprehensive Plan discussions and that the request met the standards. Mr. Golan stated the
35 Commission is not to address the setback issue which would be between the applicant and tenants. He
36 also stated the request met the criteria with regard to Pillar Nos. 1, 2 and 8 and the criteria for granting
37 special use permits, concluding he would be in favor of the request. Ms. Case agreed with the comments
38 made and stated she is in favor of the request. Mr. Alt stated while he also agreed with the comments
39 made, he referred to the challenge of going to the Village Council with a request for a four-story building
40 with two parking spaces and concluded he is in favor of the request.
41

42 Trustee Dalman stated they have consistently heard the need for more housing for empty nesters and
43 young professionals which the project would provide. She agreed the request would be a great
44 opportunity to see if a project like this would work and she would be in support of the request when it is
45 presented to the Village Council.
46

47 Chairperson Orsic then asked for a motion. Mr. Golan moved to recommend approval of the special use
48 to allow off-street parking on the street level accessed from the alley as outlined on page nos. 21 and 22

1 of the agenda packet and referred to the findings. Ms. Kunkle seconded the motion. A vote was taken and
2 the motion unanimously passed, 7 to 0:

3 AYES: Alt, Case, Danley, Foley, Golan, Kunkle, Orsic

4 NAYS: None

5 NON-VOTING: Dalman

6
7 **New Business.**

8 a. May 24, 2023, Meeting – Quorum check.

9 The Commission Members discussed their availability. Chairperson Orsic stated she would no longer be
10 the Commission's Chair. Mr. Schoon indicated there may not be a May meeting. Ms. Kunkle informed the
11 Commission of the recently passed resolution relating to recognizing compost awareness week and
12 distributed information to the Commission. The Commission Members thanked Chairperson Orsic for her
13 service.

14
15 **Adjournment:**

16 Chairperson Orsic asked for a motion to adjourn. A motion to adjourn was made and seconded by multiple
17 Commissioners. A vote was taken and the motion unanimously passed, 7 to 0:

18 AYES: Alt, Case, Danley, Foley, Golan, Kunkle, Orsic

19 NAYS: None

20 NON-VOTING: Dalman

21 The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

22
23 Respectfully submitted,

24
25 Antionette Johnson

26 Recording Secretary